

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2016, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through January 31, 2017 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 31; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 17);

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2017, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through May 1, 2017 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 34; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 20);

WHEREAS, on April 28, 2017, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through August 1, 2017 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 35; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 21);

WHEREAS, on August 3, 2017, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through November 1, 2017 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 37; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 23);

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2017, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through February 1, 2018 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 38; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 24);

WHEREAS, on January 29, 2018, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through May 1, 2018 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 39; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 25);

WHEREAS, on May 1, 2018, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through August 1, 2018 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 40; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 26);

WHEREAS, on August 3, 2018, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through November 1, 2018 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 42; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 28);

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2018, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through February 1, 2019 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 44; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 30);

WHEREAS, on January 31, 2019, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through February 1, 2019 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 46; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 32);

WHEREAS, on July 8, 2019, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through August 1, 2019 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 48; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 34);

WHEREAS, on August 1, 2019, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through November 1, 2019 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 50; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 36);

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2019, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through January 31, 2020 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 57; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 38);

WHEREAS, on January 31, 2020, the Court entered an order in the above-captioned actions extending the stay through January 31, 2020 (Case No. 15-cv-05063, Dkt. No. 59; Case No. 16-cv-01257, Dkt. No. 40);

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that extending the stay through July 31, 2020 would promote these discussions, which are ongoing;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties, and subject to the approval of the Court, that:

1. The above-captioned actions shall remain stayed, and all proceedings and deadlines, including filing and discovery deadlines, shall continue to be adjourned through July 31, 2020, in order to permit the Parties to continue the aforementioned discussions.


2. At the conclusion of this additional stay period, the Parties shall meet and confer, and promptly update the Court, on the status of the discussions and on the need, if any, for an extension of this stay or for resumption of these actions.

3. In the event that either litigation resumes, the Parties shall meet and confer on, and subsequently provide the Court with, a proposed schedule as to the filings required under Supplemental Rule G, as well as any other motions or filings the Parties intend to make.

4. Except as expressly set forth in this stipulation, nothing herein shall limit, abridge, or affect any law, rules, arguments, or processes, including deadlines and time limitations, that apply to the claims and defenses the Parties may have against each other or against other potential claimants, and the Parties expressly reserve all other rights they may have.

Dated: New York, New York
April 24, 2020

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

By: 
Richard J. Holwell (rholwell@hsgllp.com)
Daniel P. Goldberg (dgoldberg@hsgllp.com)
Vincent Levy (vlevy@hsgllp.com)
Andrei Vrabie (avrabie@hsgllp.com)
425 Lexington Avenue, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(646) 837-5151

Counsel for the Republic of Uzbekistan

DEBORAH CONNOR, CHIEF
MONEY LAUNDERING AND
ASSET RECOVERY SECTION

By: /s/ Michael W. Khoo
DANIEL H. CLAMAN
Principal Assistant Deputy Chief
MICHAEL W. KHOO
Trial Attorney
Money Laundering and
Asset Recovery Section
United States Department of Justice
1400 New York Avenue, NW
Bond Building, Suite 10100
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 532-4708
Email: Michael.Khoo@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SO ORDERED:

HONORABLE ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.
United States District Judge
Southern District of New York